

### HYPOTHESIS 3

Attorney was married but having an affair with V. V was married but getting a divorce. During the affair, V often flew into a rage and threatened to hurt himself.

In V's divorce proceedings, custody and visitation issues were paramount. Attorney testified that V was a great parent and testified to the child exchanges the attorney had witnessed. Attorney was specifically asked whether the attorney had ever seen V fly into a rage or threaten to hurt himself. Attorney testified in the negative.

Sometime later the affair ended. Attorney filed for a protective order asserting fear of V based upon V's rages attorney had witnessed prior to the testimony given in the other party's divorce.

What RPCs apply?